

# Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## CONTRIBUTIONS TO ALGONQUIAN GRAMMAR

#### By TRUMAN MICHELSON

THOUGH the bulk of this paper is concerned primarily with the Fox language, nevertheless the above title is adhered to as the discussion involves some of the more general aspects of Algonquian grammar. The Kickapoo citations are from the texts collected by the late Dr William Jones. The Fox citations are partly from the Fox Texts (by page and line) by the same author, partly from the present writer's texts, and partly from what he has heard in conversation; no words are cited which were obtained by direct questioning. The author's Fox texts were collected in the current syllabary, being written out by Indians who spoke little or no English. I have restored the phonetics according to the scheme of Dr Jones. The numerals within brackets refer to the sections of the Algonquian sketch in the Handbook of American Indian Languages.

#### PHONETIC CHANGES

#### I. n TO c

The change of n to c occurs before an i which is either a new morphological element or the initial sound of such an element. This has disguised a good many instrumental particles. Examples are:  $kAn\bar{o}ci$  (J. 298.26) TALK TO IT (an.) [-i 31] as contrasted with  $\ddot{a}$  ' $kAn\bar{o}net\bar{i}w\bar{a}tci$  (M.) THEN THEY CONVERSED TOGETHER [ $\ddot{a}$ — $w\bar{a}tci$ , 29; -n-, 21, 37; -e-, 8; - $t\bar{i}$ -, 38];  $\ddot{a}hicigi$  (M.) I was told [ $\ddot{a}$ — $ig^i$ , 41] contrasted with  $\ddot{a}hin\bar{a}tc^i$  (J. 240.16, etc.) He said to him [ $\ddot{a}$ — $\bar{a}tc^i$ , 29; -n-, 21, 37];  $m\bar{i}ciy\ddot{a}g\ddot{a}gu^{ia}$  (J. 32.12) YOU MIGHT GIVE TO HIM [- $iy\ddot{a}g\ddot{a}gu^{ia}$ , 30] but  $k\bar{i}m\bar{i}neguw\bar{a}wa$  (J. 32.13) He shall give you [ $k\bar{i}$ — $guw\bar{a}w^a$ , 28; -n-, 21, 37; -e-, 8];  $py\ddot{a}cit^a$  (M.) He who brought ME [- $it^a$ , 33] but  $nepy\ddot{a}n\bar{a}w^a$  (M.) I brought her [ne— $\bar{a}w^a$ , 28;  $py\ddot{a}$ , 16; -n-, 21, 37];  $m\ddot{a}taciyAmetcig^i$  (M.) They who overtook us (excl.) [- $iyAmetcig^i$ , 33; change of stem vowel, 33] but  $\ddot{a}mAdanegutc^i$ 

(J. 168.5) AS HE WAS OVERTAKEN [ $\ddot{a}$ — $tc^i$ , 29; mAdA, mAtA, 16; -n-, 21, 37; -e-, 8; -gu-, 41]  $\ddot{a}my\ddot{a}cit\ddot{a}h\ddot{a}tc^{i}$  (M.) He had doleful feelings IN HIS HEART  $[\ddot{a}-tc^{i}, 29; -it\ddot{a}-, 18; -h\ddot{a}-, 20]$  but  $my\bar{a}neg\ddot{a}w^{a}$  (in the grammatical sketch) HE DANCES POORLY [ $my\bar{a}$ -, initial stem; -n-, 8;  $-eg\ddot{a}$ -, 19;  $-w^a$ , 28]. The change occurs in Sauk and Kickapoo naturally enough. It likewise evidently occurs in Potawatomi: kamīnen I GIVE THEE, but mīcin GIVE (THOU) ME [these would be kemīnene and mīcin<sup>u</sup> in Fox; both constructed by myself; see sections 28 and 31]. It is important to find out if this is a Pan-Algonquian law or confined to certain languages. That ni when in the same morphological unit remains is clear from -nitc<sup>i</sup> [34], -n<sup>i</sup> [42]. A preceding e prevents the action of the law:  $t\bar{o}$ 'keni (M.) WAKE HIM UP [ $t\bar{o}$ 'k-, 16; -e-, 8; -n-, 21, 37; -i, 31]; pagisenigu (M.) SET ME FREE [pagi, 16; -sen-, 20;  $-ig^u$  YE—ME (the form given in section 31 is due to some error; Shawnee and Kickapoo have -igu likewise)]. Furthermore the law does not take place in the demonstrative (47) and interrogative (49) pronouns, due either to the influence of other sounds or to the morphology structure of these pronouns which is quite unclear.

#### 2. CHANGE OF S TO C

Certain variations of s and c are probably due to mishearing. Neither sound is quite like the corresponding English one, hence the confusion. But the following is a true phonetic process: s becomes c before an i which is either a new morphological element or the initial sound of such an element. Examples are necigu (M.) KILL (YE) ME [for  $-ig^u$ , see above],  $neciyAn^e$  (J. 54.21) IF THOU SLAY ME as compared with  $nesegus^a$  (J. 168.13) HE WOULD HAVE BEEN SLAIN [stem ne-, not nes- as given in the sketch (p. 784); -s-, 21; -e-, 8; -gu-, 41; -sa, 30], etc. The interchange of s and t is morphological: see section 21. The change apparently does not apply to cases of nouns combined with the copula -i-.

#### 3. CHANGE OF t TO tc

It is perfectly clear from a comparison of the intransitive third person singular animate -ta, -te, -tci of the participial, present sub-

junctive, and conjunctive, respectively, that t changes to tc under the same conditions.

### 4. CHANGE OF -yA- TO -yä-

The word for HIS MOTHER is  $ugy\ddot{a}ni$ . It is evident that this stands for u-gi-Ani, cf.  $ugiw\ddot{a}wAn^i$  (J. 154.9) THEIR MOTHER just as  $negy^a$  MY MOTHER stands for ne-gi-a: see section 45 (u—[m]Ani is the sign of the third person animate possessive pronoun singular). It may be noted here that plurals such as  $aseny\ddot{a}n^i$  stones, are due to the same cause: they stand for -i-Ani, with the i taken over from the singular as shown by the normal ending -Ani (inanimate pl.). As -yA- is retained in the conjunctive, etc., it would seem that a preceding consonant is required to make -yA- become  $-y\ddot{a}$ -. [ $ketaiy\ddot{a}g^i$ , THY PETS, J. 298.16; and  $utaiy\ddot{a}n^i$ , HIS PET, J. 298.28, are to be explained similarly: the i of ai is consonantal.]

## 5. CHANGE OF -wA- TO $-\bar{o}-$

As can be seen from the folder at the end in the Twenty-eighth Annual Report of the Bureau of American Ethnology, the change of -wA- to  $-\bar{o}$ - (u) after a consonant, is found in many Central Algonquian languages. However, the actual consonants after which this change takes place are not yet determined, and I have some evidence to show that the languages concerned do not all agree. This may be due in part to analogical levelings. What I wish to point out here is that plurals such as  $me^i teg\bar{o}ni$  (M., and in the grammatical sketch) trees, are really contracted from  $me^i tegw$ -ani, and  $nenus\bar{o}g^i$  (in sketch) buffaloes, from nenusw-agi. Similarly  $k\bar{\iota}ces\bar{o}n^i$  (J. and M.) sun, obviative (objective) is for  $k\bar{\iota}cesw$ -ani. The forms are wrongly discussed in the sketch.

#### NOTE ON INTERVOCALIC CONSONANTS

On page 752 of the sketch I have expressed the conviction that the so-called intervocalic consonants are probably morphological elements, and tried to show that -tci-, not -tc-, was one of the elements. Examples supporting this are: kepyätcinānen\* (J. 40.12) I'VE COME TO TAKE YOU AWAY WITH ME [ke—ne, 28; pyä, nā, 16; -n-, 21, 37];

neþyätcinānāw<sup>a</sup> (J. 42.4) I'VE COME TO TAKE HER AWAY WITH ME  $[ne-\bar{a}w^a, 28]$ ;  $kepyätcinAtumenepw^a$  (M.) I HAVE COME TO INVITE YOU  $[ke-nepw^a, 28; pyä-, 16; nAtu-, 16; -m-, 21; -e-, 8]$ ; kepyätcinawihene (J. 256.7) I HAVE COME TO VISIT YOU [nawi- initial stem; ke-ne, 28; -h-, 21, 37; -e-, 8];  $nepyätcikAski't\bar{o}$  (M.) I'VE COME TO BUY  $[ne-, 28; kAski-, 16; -t\bar{o}, 37]$ .

#### NOTES ON THE VERBAL COMPLEX

From a careful study of Dr Jones' Fox and Kickapoo texts, as well as of the Fox texts of the writer, it seems that the very great firmness in the unity of the verbal complex is only apparent, not real. All sorts of incorporation can and do occur, save the incorporation of the nominal object and subject in the transitive verb. The following will illustrate in measure the above: netcāgimāmegunā'kawani'tō (M.) I have really indeed lost them all again [ne-, 28; -'tō, 37; tcāgi, 16; wani, 16; incorporation of particles mā and megu, and the adverb  $n\bar{a}'ka$  between the two 'initial' stems]: ä'ponimegutātagi'anwatciwīgatamowātci (M.) they indeed ceased PAYING THE SLIGHTEST ATTENTION TO IT  $[\ddot{a}-Amow\bar{a}tc^{i}, 29; -t-, 21, 37;$ incorporation of particles megu and tātagi after the initial stem poni (16)]; kikutcimā'wīnāmāinatcihene (Kickapoo, J.) I WILL CERTAINLY TRY TO HELP YOU [for  $k\bar{\imath}$ —ne, 28; -h-, 21, 37; -e-, 8; incorporation of particles mā and wīna between the initial stems kutci and māi (Fox māwi)]; ä'pwāwāpeneskimātci (J. 138.20) IT WAS NEVER HIS WAY TO SCOLD HER  $[\ddot{a}-\bar{a}tci, 29; pw\bar{a}wi, 35.3, the terminal i is lost$ before the initial vowel of  $\bar{a}pe$ ; incorporation of the particle  $\bar{a}pe$ (āpe'e) before the initial stem neski, 16; -m-, 21, 37]; ä'pwāwimegu mō'tc ane'kī a'tänigi (J. 118.20) there was not even a little [incorporation of the particles megu and  $m\bar{o}$ 'tci; ane' $k\bar{\imath}$  is difficult to label]; ätaswikutcimegōnimesahōtci (M.) as often indeed as she TRIED TO JUMP [ä-tci, 29; incorporation of the particle megoni after the initial stems taswi (16) and kutci; -m-, 8; -esahō- evidently the same as the secondary stem -isah $\bar{o}$ -, 18].

We now come to examples of more radical incorporation:  $\ddot{a}$  'pyätci'  $\bar{o}$ sab Amegutci' (M.) WHEN HIS FATHER CAME TO SEE HIM [really a passive;  $\ddot{a}$ — $tc^i$ , 29; pyätci discussed above; -m-, 21, 37;

-e-, 8; -gu-, 41; ōsa apparently an abbreviated form of ōsani (HIS FATHER), resembling utotama or utotamani (HIS GIVER OF SUPER-NATURAL POWER);  $b_A$  apparently is a shortened form of  $wab_A$  to see. an initial stem, 16: cf. section 12; the shortened forms suggest the intimate association in the compound]; ä'pwāwigāi uwīyā'ak Askikanawitci (M.) Then truly no one was able to say a word [incorporation of a particle gäi and indefinite subjective pronoun, 48, before two initial stems]; ä'pōnikägōi'icitähätci (M.) THEN HE CEASED THINKING OF ANYTHING [incorporation of indefinite inanimate objective pronoun  $(k\ddot{a}g\bar{o}^i, 48)$  after the initial stem  $p\bar{o}ni$ , CESSATION, 16; and before the initial stem ici, THUS, 16; -itä-, 18; fusion of terminal and initial i, 10; -hä-, 20; ä-tci, 29]; ä'pwāwimegukägōi'ketōnitci (Kickapoo, J.) AS THE OTHER INDEED DID NOT SAY ANYTHING [incorporation of the particle megu and indefinite pronoun kägōi as above; fusion of the terminal vowel of  $k\ddot{a}g\bar{o}i$  and  $i'ket\bar{o}$ , an initial stem, to say; ä—nitci, 34; pwāwi, 35]; ä'paimeguawīyähipyä'tōtci (Kickapoo, J.) HE DID NOT BRING ANYTHING [pai corresponds to Fox pāwi, 12; incorporation of megu (as above) and awīyähi, an indefinite pronoun, inanimate singular, before the initial stem pyä, 16; 'tō, 37; ä—tci, 29]; wī'pwāwi'acāhahike'känemegutc (J. 224.7) THAT SHE MIGHT NOT BE FOUND OUT BY THE SIOUX [final i lost before a vowel;  $w\bar{\imath}$ —tci, 29; pwāwi, 35; ke'k-, initial stem, 16; -äne-, 18; -m-, 21, 37; -e-, 8; -gu-, 41; acāhahi same as Acāha'i Sioux, obviative pl.; incorporation of the logical nominal subject].

The following illustrate a very curious type of incorporation:  $\ddot{a}$  ugwisiwātc<sup>i</sup> (M.) Then they had a son [ $\ddot{a}$ —wātci, 29; -i-, 20];  $k\bar{\imath}$  ugwisemene (M.) I will have you as son [ $k\bar{\imath}$ —ne, 28; -m-, 21, 37; -e- (both times), 8];  $k\bar{\imath}$  unāpāmemene (M.) I will have you as husband [ $k\bar{\imath}$ —ne, 28; -me- (second one), 21, 37, 8]; ketugimenepena (M.) we have thee as mother [ke—nepena, 28; -t-, 28; -me- as above];  $n\bar{\imath}$  hutūgimāmipena (J. 28.6) we would have thee as our chief [an error for  $k\bar{\imath}$ —ipena, 28 (see 13); -h-, 8; -m- (second time), 21, 37];  $\ddot{a}$  hutānesiwātc<sup>i</sup> (J. 66.15) they had a daughter [ $\ddot{a}$ —wātci and -i- as above; -h, 8];  $\ddot{a}$  pōnunāpāminitc<sup>i</sup> (J. 70.9) and she no longer had him for her husband [final vowel of  $p\bar{o}ni$ , the initial stem, 16, is lost before u-;  $\ddot{a}$ —nitc<sup>i</sup>, 34; -i-, 20]. That we have pos-

sessed nouns incorporated is evident enough. The u-strongly resembles the u- of the third person possessive pronouns; note  $ugwisan^i$  (M.) HIS SON;  $ugiw\bar{a}wan^i$  (J. 154.9) THEIR MOTHER;  $un\bar{a}p\bar{a}man^i$  (J. 162.4) HER HUSBAND;  $ut\bar{a}nesan^i$  (M.) HIS DAUGHTER; I lack an example for CHIEF(s) with the third person possessive, but the structure would be the same as shown by  $ket\bar{u}gim\bar{a}men\bar{a}nag^i$  (J. 62.22) OUR (inclusive) CHIEFS. See section 45 of the sketch. The question arises whether originally the u- of the possessive pronouns did not originally mean possession by any one, and only secondarily became fixed as representing third persons. It will be observed that the terminal elements which would occur in nouns by themselves do not occur in the verbal compound.

The extreme limit of incorporation is reached in incorporating verbs within verbs:  $pw\bar{a}wi$  "acimenāge" icawiyane (M.) IF YOU DO NOT DO AS WE TELL YOU  $[pw\bar{a}wi, 35; (i)cawi, do, 16; \ddot{a}-n\bar{a}ge, 29; -me-, 21, 37, 8; ci possibly for ici (see section 12), or cim is an initial stem; <math>e$  the phonetic insert; -yane, 29];  $k\bar{i}$ "  $p\bar{o}ni$ "  $an\bar{a}pamiyanin\bar{a}pami$  (M.) AS THOU HAST BEEN SEEING ME, THOU WILT CEASE SEEING ME  $[k\bar{i}-i, 28; p\bar{o}ni, \text{CESSATION}, 16; \ddot{a}-iyani, 29; -n- (both times), 8; -m- (both times), 21, 37; <math>\bar{a}pa$  TO SEE, initial stem (see section 9)].

It should be stated here that compounds of the type 'HE WOULD HAVE BECOME CHIEF' (*ugimāwisa*, J. 26. 16) occur in which the noun is stripped of the terminal animate ending and combined with the copula *-i-* with the ordinary verbal endings.

It is obvious that stems will have to be reclassified. It is quite certain that a class corresponding to the accepted secondary stems will occur, but whether the present two-fold division will be maintained or classed in a different manner is uncertain. That the present so-called initial stems will have a different classification seems reasonable. There is an essential difference between stems such as tcāgi totality, kutci try, Asāmi too Much which can occur outside as well as inside the verbal compound, and such stems as pyä to come, mīgā to fight, kaski ability which can occur only within the compound. Moreover it is possible that a new division may be made according to the position of incorporated particles, and the like. Also the position of stems in noun-formation will have to be taken into consideration.

From what has been said above it will be seen that the firmly united part of the verbal compound seems to be the pronominal elements and the instrumental particles. Yet it may be mentioned that though in some cases the original meaning of the instrumental particles is kept with great fidelity, in the majority of cases the original meaning has faded, and it is a matter of absolute convention as to which particle is used with any given verbal stem. It also appears that not all stems can be combined with each other. In other words, in Algonquian words may be analytically separated into the constituent elements, but these elements can not always be combined synthetically to form new words.

BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY WASHINGTON, D. C.